

REMARKS

The examiner rejected claims 1-21 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Iyengar.

Claim 1 has been amended to call for ... transmitting an executable from a server to a remote location over a network, the executable processing data generated by the server. Iyengar does not disclose at least this feature of amended claim 1. Iyengar discloses a plug-in for a browser that can be used to communicate with third party sites, but does not disclose that the plug-in as being useful to process data generated by the server that supplies the plug-in. At least for this reason claim 1 is distinct over Iyengar.

Claim 1 however has additional distinct features. For instance, claim 1 also recites generating the data at the server as the executable is being transmitted and transmitting the generated data to the executable at the Claim 1 also recites that the executable processes the data by decoding and uncompressing the data for graphical presentation on a display associated with the remote location. These features are also not disclosed by Iyengar.

Rather Iyengar discloses a plug-in that includes a control module and control information. The purpose of the plug-in is to permit a user's web page to navigate third party sites. Thus Iyengar discusses at Col. 14 line 38,

The server machine 1900 hosts a server web site (or other content providing software) which manages the operation of the commercial information system which automates price comparison and purchasing. Included within the server machine is both hardware and software. The software includes a control module, control information, ***. The control module takes the form of a web browser plug-in or the like and is used to add functionality to a web browser resident on the user machine 1904. The control module is an executable piece of programming code which allows any standard web browser *** to implement specialized functionality formerly not supported in the standard web browser.

Control information is maintained on the server machine 1900 and updated periodically as the target machines 1908, 1912, 1916 modify their respective web sites. ***

The control information is used by the control module to implement such operations as navigating the target web site in order to receive output information related to a round trip or one-way flight and to create or modify an user account. The control information is implemented with a number of general purpose spider language ("GPSL") script files. ***.

The web site, resident in the server machine 1900, allows the user to interact with the server machine 1900. Web site software is typically implemented in hyper text markup language (HTML), Java.TM. and/or ActiveX.TM.. The web site merely allows the user to interface with the server machine 1900 at a remote location with specialized software, such as a web browser. FIGS. 21-25 are examples of web pages generated by the web site and displayed by the web browser on the user's machine. Output information received from the user machine 1904 is processed by the server machine and displayed on a web page generated by the web site. (Portions *** omitted for brevity.)

Iyengar is void of teachings that suggest transmitting an executable from a server to a remote location over a network, the executable processing data generated by the server. Iyengar also does not suggest generating the data at the server as the executable is being transmitted and transmitting the generated data to the executable at the remote location over the network.

The claimed executable also serves a different function than that disclosed in Iyengar in that the executable processes data from the server and decodes and uncompresses the data for graphical presentation on a display associated with the remote location. Whereas, the plug-in disclosed by Iyengar is directed to a control module to navigate a target web site in order to receive output information related to a round trip or one-way flight and to create or modify a user account. The web site resident in the server machine 1900 receives the results from threads 1920, 1924 ... from the target machines for further processing. That information is subsequently presented to the user without the aid of the plug-in. Therefore claim 1 is distinct over Iyengar.

Applicant : James N. Rees
Serial No. : 09/704,221
Filed : November 1, 2000
Page : 9 of 9

Attorney's Docket No.: 09765-020001

Claims 2-9, which depend directly or indirectly from claim 1 are also allowable for similar reasons. Claims 10 and 14 and their respective dependent claims, which contain similar limitations, are allowable for similar reasons as claim 1.


Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: _____

5/20/04

Denis G. Maloney
Reg. No. 29,670



Fish & Richardson P.C.
225 Franklin Street
Boston, MA 02110-2804
Telephone: (617) 542-5070
Facsimile: (617) 542-8906